

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated April 06, 2007, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 24-31 and 34-43 are originally presented.

No claims are previously presented.

Claim 23, 32 and 33 are currently amended.

Claims 1-22 are canceled.

Claim 23 is objected to on the basis of an informality. Namely, "a number allocated securities" within the claim language is missing the preposition "of".

Claims 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0087373 to Dickstein et. al (hereinafter "Dickstein").

Claims 23-43 remain in this application.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims and allowance of the subject application.

1 **Interview with Examiner**

2 Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for both the telephonic interview
3 on June 28, 2007 and the follow up conversation on July 2, 2007. In particular,
4 Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for his helpful assistance regarding both
5 the claim amendments listed above and the arguments listed below.

6
7 **Objections**

8 Claim 23 is objected to on the basis of an informality. Namely, "a number
9 allocated securities" within the previous claim language is missing the preposition
10 "of". Claim 23 has been amended to include "of", thus ameliorating this
11 objection.

12
13 **35 U.S.C. §102**

14 Claims 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by
15 Dickstein. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

16
17 **Amended independent claim 23** recites:

18 A method for providing restricted security distribution
19 instructions to a transfer agent comprising the steps of:
20 receiving distribution information from a Venture Capitalist
21 ("VC") in electronic form, the distribution information including
22 data indicating for each participant in the distribution a name, an
23 identification number, and a number of allocated securities;
24 importing the distribution information into a database system;
25 matching participants identified in the distribution
 information to participant information previously entered in the
 database system;
 associating each respective participant in the distribution
 information with a contact from which instructions regarding a
 disposition of the allocated securities to each respective participant

1 can be obtained;
2 indicating contacts who must be contacted to obtain
3 distribution instructions for associated participants;
4 storing distribution instructions received from contacts in the
5 database system;
6 generating a report containing received distribution
7 instructions for the participants in the distribution; and
8 providing the report to a transfer agent.

9 Dickstein fails to disclose the method of claim 23. Instead, Dickstein
10 describes using a database administration system configured to manage a
11 company's capitalization structure. (*Dickstein*, paragraph 28). Agents, such as
12 stakeholders, can directly access information managed by the database
13 administration system via the Internet; and customized screens allow stakeholders
14 to track payments made for stock. (*Dickstein*, paragraphs 31, 32).

15 The database administration system can check to see if a security, such as a
16 stock option, can be granted to an entity (i.e. the database administration system
17 can check to see if the company has a stock option plan, and determine if there are
18 still shares available to be granted). (*Dickstein*, paragraph 33). Dickstein also
19 describes determining what kind of shares can be granted to the entity based on the
20 entity's employment status with the company -- though Dickstein omits any
21 disclosure as to how the entity's employment status with the company is
22 determined. (*Dickstein*, paragraph 33).

23 The database administration system can also search a database to see if a
24 file has been created in the database for the entity. (*Dickstein*, paragraph 33). If
25 no such file exists, one can be created --though Dickstein again omits to describe
how such a file is created. (*Dickstein*, paragraph 33).

1 If it is determined that the entity can receive options, the database
2 administration system creates a vesting schedule for options to be granted to the
3 entity and issues stock certificates. (*Dickstein*, paragraph 33, 35-36).

4 As a result, Dickstein fails to disclose "associating each respective
5 participant in the distribution information with a contact from which instructions
6 regarding a disposition of the allocated securities to each respective participant can
7 be obtained" as recited in claim 23. Instead, Dickstein merely describes that the
8 types of shares which can be granted to an entity are determined based on the
9 entity's employment status with the company. The specifics of how the entity's
10 employment status is determined are not disclosed in Dickstein. Nor is any
11 mention made in Dickstein of contacting contacts to glean any information --
12 including information useful in the disposition of shares of stock or other
13 securities to the entity.

14 Thus, Dickstein fails to disclose or show "associating each respective
15 participant in the distribution information with a contact from which instructions
16 regarding a disposition of the allocated securities to each respective participant can
17 be obtained", as recited in claim 23.

18 In rejecting claim 23, the Office relies on paragraph 33 of Dickstein as
19 disclosing associating each respective participant in the distribution with a contact
20 from which instructions regarding disposition of the allocated securities to the
21 respective participant can be obtained. (*Office Action*, Page 3).

22 Applicant respectfully disagrees. As noted above, under Dickstein,
23 decisions on what kinds of security offerings can be made to an entity depend on a
24 file for the entity in a database and an employment status of the entity. Dickstein
25

1 omits any descriptions as to how such a file is made, and does not give
2 information as to how the entity's employment status is determined. Thus
3 Dickstein fails to disclose or show "associating each respective participant in the
4 distribution information with a contact from which instructions regarding a
5 disposition of the allocated securities to each respective participant can be
6 obtained" as recited in claim 23.

7 Dickstein also fails to disclose or show "indicating contacts who must be
8 contacted to obtain distribution instructions for associated participants" and
9 "storing distribution instructions received from contacts in the database system" as
10 recited in claim 23. Rather, as noted above, Dickstein makes no mention as to
11 how files are created for entities if the files do not exist in a database managed by
12 the database administration system. Similarly, Dickstein makes no mention as to
13 how an employment status of an entity is determined with regard to a company
14 issuing a security to the entity. As such, Dickstein makes no disclosure regarding
15 either "indicating contacts who must be contacted to obtain distribution
16 instructions for associated participants" or "storing distribution instructions
17 received from contacts in the database system" as recited in claim 23.

18 In rejecting claim 23, the Office relies on paragraph 33 of Dickstein as
19 disclosing indicating contacts who must be contacted to obtain distribution
20 instructions for associated participants. (*Office Action*, Page 3). Similarly, the
21 Office relies on claim 44 as disclosing storing distribution instructions received
22 from contacts in the database system. (*Office Action*, Page 4).

23 Applicant respectfully disagrees. As noted above, under Dickstein a
24 database is searched by a database administration system to see if a file has been
25

1 created in the database for the entity. If no file exists, one is created. Dickstein
2 makes no mention of how the file is created, however. Moreover, under Dickstein
3 the types of shares which can be granted to an entity are determined based on the
4 entity's employment status with the company. Dickstein fails, however, to
5 indicate how the entity's employment status is determined.

6 Therefore Dickstein omits to disclose "indicating contacts who must be
7 contacted to obtain distribution instructions for associated participants", as recited
8 in claim 23. Instead, Dickstein merely describes determining the types of shares
9 which can be distributed to an entity based on the entity's employment status with
10 the company.

11 Moreover, since Dickstein fails to disclose or show contacting contacts,
12 "storing distribution instructions received from contacts in the database system" as
13 recited in claim 23 makes no sense under Dickstein.

14 Accordingly, since Dickstein does not disclose or show all of the elements
15 of claim 23, the §102(e) anticipation rejection of claim 23 based on Dickstein is
16 not supported. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the §102(e) rejection
17 of claim 23 be withdrawn.

18 **Dependent claims 24-33** are allowable at the least by virtue of their
19 dependency on base claim 23, as well as for the additional elements they include.
20 Applicant respectfully requests that the §102(e) rejection of claims 24-33 be
21 withdrawn.

1 **Independent claim 34** recites:

2 A system for generating restricted security distribution
3 instruction reports suitable for use by a transfer agent, the system
4 comprising:

- 5 a database;
- 6 at least one client computer system having access to the
7 database;
- 8 a database import module configured to import into the
9 database distribution information received from an originating
10 entity in electronic form, the distribution information including data
11 indicating for respective participants in the distribution a name, an
12 identification number, and a number of allocated securities;
- 13 a participant matching module configured to match
14 participants identified in the distribution information to participant
15 information previously stored in the database;
- 16 a participant contact association module configured to
17 associate each participant in the distribution with a contact from
18 which instructions regarding the disposition of the securities
19 allocated to the participant can be obtained;
- 20 a call queue module configured to indicate contacts who
21 must be contacted to obtain distribution instructions for associated
22 participants;
- 23 at least one disposition module configured to store
24 distribution instructions received from the contacts; and
- 25 a participant instruction report module configured to
generate a report containing received instructions for the
participants, the report suitable for electronic transfer to the transfer
agent.

18 For reasons similar to those discussed above, Dickstein fails to disclose the
19 system of claim 34.

20 For example, Dickstein fails to disclose “a participant contact association
21 module configured to associate each participant in the distribution with a contact
22 from which instructions regarding the disposition of the securities allocated to the
23 participant can be obtained” as recited in claim 34.

1 Instead, Dickstein merely describes that the types of shares which can be
2 granted to an entity are determined based on the entity's employment status with
3 the company. The specifics of how the entity's employment status is determined
4 are not disclosed in Dickstein. Nor is any mention made in Dickstein of
5 contacting contacts to glean any information -- including information useful in the
6 disposition of shares of stock or other securities to the entity.

7 In rejecting claim 34, the Office relies on paragraph 33 and Figure 2
8 (elements 201, 202, and 204) of Dickstein as disclosing a participant contact
9 association module configured to associate each participant in the distribution with
10 a contact from which instructions regarding the disposition of the securities
11 allocated to the participant can be obtained. (*Office Action*, Page 8).

12 Applicant respectfully disagrees. As noted above, under Dickstein,
13 decisions on what kinds of security offerings can be made to an entity depend on a
14 file for the entity in a database and an employment status of the entity. Dickstein
15 omits any descriptions as to how such a file is made, and does not give
16 information as to how the entity's employment status is determined. Thus
17 Dickstein fails to disclose or show "a participant contact association module
18 configured to associate each participant in the distribution with a contact from
19 which instructions regarding the disposition of the securities allocated to the
20 participant can be obtained" as recited in claim 34.

21 Dickstein also fails to disclose or show "a call queue module configured to
22 indicate contacts who must be contacted to obtain distribution instructions for
23 associated participants" and "at least one disposition module configured to store
24 distribution instructions received from the contacts" as recited in claim 34. In fact,
25

1 Dickstein makes no mention of indicating contacts who must be contacted to
2 obtain distribution instructions for associated participants. Instead Dickstein
3 simply recites that files are created for entities when the files do not exist in a
4 database managed by the database administration, and employment statuses are
5 determined for the entities in order to determine the types of shares which can be
6 distributed to the entities.

7 Dickstein gives no description, however, as to how either of these activities
8 are completed. As such, Dickstein fails to disclose or show either “a call queue
9 module configured to indicate contacts who must be contacted to obtain
10 distribution instructions for associated participants” or “at least one disposition
11 module configured to store distribution instructions received from the contacts” as
12 recited in claim 34.

13 In rejecting claim 34, the Office relies on paragraph 33 and Figure 2
14 (elements 201, 202, and 204) of Dickstein as disclosing a call queue module
15 configured to indicate contacts who must be contacted to obtain distribution
16 instructions for associated participants. (*Office Action*, Page 8). Similarly, the
17 Office relies on paragraph 21 and Figure 2 (element 204) of Dickstein as
18 disclosing “at least one disposition module configured to store distribution
19 instructions received from the contacts”. (*Office Action*, Page 8).

20 Applicant respectfully disagrees. As noted above, under Dickstein a
21 database is searched by a database administration system to see if a file has been
22 created in the database for the entity. If no file exists, one is created. Dickstein
23 makes no mention of how the file is created, and Dickstein makes no mention of
24 contacts who must be contacted to obtain distribution instructions for associated
25

1 participants. Instead, Dickstein merely describes determining the types of shares
2 which can be distributed to an entity based on the entity's employment status with
3 the company. However, how this employment status is determined is not provided
4 anywhere by Dickstein. Consequently, Dickstein omits to disclose "a call queue
5 module configured to indicate contacts who must be contacted to obtain
6 distribution instructions for associated participants" as recited in claim 34.

7 Moreover, since Dickstein fails to disclose or show contacts who must be
8 contacted to obtain distribution instructions for associated participants, "at least
9 one disposition module configured to store distribution instructions received from
10 the contacts" as recited in claim 34 makes no sense under Dickstein.

11 Accordingly, since Dickstein does not disclose or show all of the elements
12 of claim 34, the §102(e) anticipation rejection of claim 34 based on Dickstein is
13 not supported. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the §102(e) rejection
14 of claim 34 be withdrawn.

15 **Dependent claims 35-43** are allowable at the least by virtue of their
16 dependency on base claim 34, as well as for the additional elements they include.
17 Applicant respectfully requests that the §102(e) rejection of claims 35-43 be
18 withdrawn.

1 CONCLUSION

2 The Applicant submits that all of the remaining claims are in condition for
3 allowance and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowability be issued. If the
4 Office's next anticipated action is not the issuance of a Notice of Allowability, the
5 Applicant respectfully requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted for the
6 purpose of scheduling an interview.

7
8
9 Respectfully Submitted,

10 Dated: July 3, 2007

11 By: Jim Patterson
12 Jim Patterson
13 Reg. No. 52,103
14 Attorney for Applicant

15 LEE & HAYES PLLC
16 Suite 500
17 421 W. Riverside Avenue
18 Spokane, Washington 99201
19 Telephone: 509-324-9256 x247
20 Facsimile: (509) 323-8979